

Presentation evaluation form

Name of presenter: _____

Clear, strong, specific, interpretive thesis:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Evidence from the work(s):	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Appropriate use of evidence from scholarly secondary sources:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Discussion and analysis of primary & secondary source material:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Credit given to secondary sources when necessary:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Clear, logical, and easy to follow:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Convincing argument made for interpretation:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Engaging and well performed:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Appropriate tone and style:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Handout clear and helpful:	Excellent	Good	Competent	Weak
Handout includes thesis?	Yes	No		
Handout includes outline of argument?	Yes	No		